

# medicina intensiva



http://www.medintensiva.org/

#### **ORIGINAL**

# Landmark versus ultrasound-guided insertion of femoral venous catheters in the pediatric intensive care unit: An efficacy and safety comparison study



P.F. Pietroboni<sup>a,\*</sup>, C.M. Carvajal<sup>a</sup>, Y.I. Zuleta<sup>a</sup>, P.L. Ortiz<sup>a</sup>, Y.C. Lucero<sup>b</sup>, M. Drago<sup>a</sup>, B. vonDessauer<sup>a</sup>

Received 31 October 2018; accepted 30 July 2019

### **KEYWORDS**

Vascular central access; Ultrasound guidance; Pediatric critical care

#### **Abstract**

Background: Central venous cannulation (CVC) is common and necessary in pediatric intensive care. However, this procedure is not without risks or complications. Although CVCs have classically been placed following anatomical landmarks, the use of ultrasound guidance has largely replaced the latter, given its better profile of efficacy and safety, demonstrated at least in adult populations.

*Objectives*: To compare the effectiveness and safety in the insertion of femoral central venous catheters guided by ultrasound (US) versus the anatomical method (LM) in critical care pediatric patients.

Methods: 100 patients were randomized: 50 were assigned to the US group and 49 to the LM group. In the LM group the traditional method consisted in palpating the femoral artery pulse as a; in the US group the CVC was inserted using a real time technique. Success at the first attempt, overall success in cannulation, number of attempts and arterial puncture were the variables studied in both groups.

Results: Success at the first attempt and overall success in cannulation were significantly higher in the US group versus the LM (US 42% vs. LM 18%, p 0.011, US 84% vs. LM 51% p <0.001, respectively). The incidence of puncture of the femoral artery was lower in the US group (LM 12 vs. US 5, p 0.056) without achieving statistical significance.

*Conclusions*: According to our results, the placement of central venous access via the femoral approach should be preferably performed under ultrasound guidance, however, further studies in larger populations are needed to confirm this findings.

© 2019 Elsevier España, S.L.U. y SEMICYUC. All rights reserved.

E-mail address: pietroboni@gmail.com (P.F. Pietroboni).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Graduate School of Universidad de Chile, Pediatric Intensive Care, Specialization Program, Santiago, Chile

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Research Unit, Luis Calvo Mackenna Hospital, Santiago, Chile

<sup>☆</sup> The research was done in the ''Dr. Roberto del Río'' and ''Dr. Exequiel González Cortés'' Children's Hospitals.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

#### PALABRAS CLAVE

Acceso vascular central; Ultrasonido; Cuidados intensivos pediátricos Inserción tradicional versus eco-dirigida de catéteres venosos femorales en la unidad de cuidados intensivos pediátricos: un estudio comparativo de eficacia y seguridad

#### Resumer

Antecedentes: La instalación de un catéter venoso central (CVC) es una práctica común y necesaria en cuidados intensivos pediátricos. Sin embargo, dicho procedimiento no se encuentra carente de riesgos ni de complicaciones. Si bien clásicamente los CVC se han colocado siguiendo referencias anatómicas, el uso de la guía ecográfica ha reemplazado a este método, dado su mejor perfil de eficacia y seguridad, demostrado al menos en poblaciones adultas.

Objetivos: Comparar la efectividad y seguridad en la inserción de catéteres venosos centrales femorales guiados por ecografía (US) versus mediante el método anatómico (LM) en pacientes críticos pediátricos.

*Métodos*: Se aleatorizaron 100 pacientes: 50 se destinaron al grupo US y 49 al LM. En el grupo LM se usó el método tradicional palpando pulso femoral; en el grupo US se colocó el CVC guiado por ecografía en tiempo real. El éxito al primer intento, éxito global en la canulación, número de intentos y la punción arterial fueron las variables de estudio entre ambos grupos.

Resultados: El éxito al primer intento y el éxito global en la canulación fueron significativamente mayores en el grupo US versus LM (US 42% vs. LM 18%, p = 0.011; US 84% vs. LM 51%, p < 0.001, respectivamente). La incidencia de punción de la arteria femoral fue menor en el grupo US (LM 12 vs. US 5, p = 0.056) sin lograr significación estadística.

Conclusiones: De acuerdo con nuestros resultados, la colocación del acceso venoso central por vía femoral debiera realizarse bajo guía ecográfica, aunque se necesitan estudios en poblaciones más numerosas que confirmen dichos resultados.

© 2019 Elsevier España, S.L.U. y SEMICYUC. Todos los derechos reservados.

#### Introduction

Central venous cannulation is common and necessary in pediatric intensive care. However, this procedure is not without risks or complications. Although CVCs have classically been placed following anatomical landmarks, the use of ultrasound guidance has largely replaced the latter, given its better profile of efficacy and safety, clearly demonstrated at least in adult populations. <sup>1,2</sup>

The use of ultrasound is well-known and respected, however, there are not large well-designed studies in this setting and there is more evidence in adults compared with pediatric population.<sup>3–5</sup> In critically-ill pediatric patients data shows advantage of ultrasound guidance in the insertion of central catheters via the internal jugular vein access<sup>5–7</sup>; regarding femoral approach, there are published two randomized studies<sup>8,9</sup> comparing the landmark technique vs. the ultrasounds guidance, with inconclusive evidence for supporting one method over the other.

As part of the quality search process in patient care and given the lack of evidence in this area, we designed a randomized multicenter study in the pediatric critical care unit (PICU) comparing the landmark (LM) with real-time ultrasound guidance (US) for inserting central venous catheters via the femoral approach. Our primary outcome is the cannulation rate at the first attempt of the CVC in the femoral vein, and secondary outcomes include successful insertion, number of attempts and incidence of femoral artery puncture.

This work is registered in ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NTC02318940. Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/

show/NTC02318940?term=02318940; in addition, it has financing of the Research Unit, Luis Calvo Mackenna's Hospital, and East Department of the University of Chile.

# Method

# Study design

Multicenter randomized prospective study in the PICUs of the "Roberto Del Río" and "Exequiel González Cortés" Children's Hospitals. Patients entered the study between February 2015 and November 2015, after signing their informed consent by their parents or legal representative. This study was reviewed and approved by the local institutional review board (IRB).

#### **Patients**

All the newly admitted patients who met criteria for admission to the PICU and who needed a central venous access according to the criteria of treating physician were enrolled in this study. Children younger than 7 days and older than 15 years, those had local infection at the puncture site, known anatomical and/or functional vascular alterations were excluded.

Subgroup analysis will be considered a priori in patients younger than 6 months.

98 P.F. Pietroboni et al.

### Sample size

Previous studies report an average success rate of 50% at the first attempt using the LM method, both in children and adults. 9-11 Sample size is determined to improve the success rate by 30% using US guidance. To obtain a power of 80% in relation to success at the first attempt and with a confidence level of 95%, a number of 50 patients per branch was determined.

#### Randomization method

Randomization was performed by blocks for each center, with the Stata 12.0 Software. They were stored in sealed envelopes available 24h a day in the unit. The selection of the vein was according to the attending physician, and patients selected for femoral CVC insertion were included consecutively.

### Operator

The insertion, LM and US, was performed by a pediatric intensivist or by a resident of the pediatric intensive care university program at the PICUs of the hospitals involved in this study.

To standardize knowledge in the placement of the CVC, intensivists were trained in ultrasound-guided cannulation by an expert pediatric intensivist, consisting in 2 h of theory time and two hours of practice time: this was performed in phantoms specially designed for pediatric patients with small vessels and using Mindray® ultrasound machines.

#### Insertion method<sup>12</sup>

- LM technique: In the supine position with external rotation and abduction of the lower extremity, the femoral artery is located by palpation in the femoral triangle and is punctured medially in the direction of the navel until it has reflux of venous blood.
- US Guidance: Ultrasound was performed to verify the patency and position of the target vessel before cannulation, which was performed using and in-plane or an out-plane technique (based on operator preference). The transductor and cable were covered by sterile material.

# Definitions<sup>13</sup>

- Successful cannulation: it was considered when the catheter is placed without difficulty in the femoral vein.
- Cannulation on the first attempt: it was considered when the CVC insertion is achieved at the first transcutaneous passage to the needle.
- Attempt of cannulation: it was considered an attempt to pass the needle without withdrawing or redirecting the needle with forward movement. Each successive removal or redirection with a forward movement was considered one separate attempt.
- Arterial puncture: The arterial puncture involves aspiration of pulsatile arterial blood for both methods, and also observing the needle in the artery in the US method.

 Rescue: After the fifth failed attempt in LM group, up to five attempts with ultrasound guidance were made in the same vein.

#### Ultrasound machines and catheters

- US machine: For this study, a Sonosite® M-Turbo (Bothell, USA) equipped with a "7-10 MHz" linear transducer ("Roberto del Río" Hospital) and a General Electric Vivid q (Bothell, USA) equipped with a 12 MHz linear probe ("Exequiel Gonzales Cortes" Hospital) were used. Both US machines have software to improve the display of the needle.
- Catheter: the catheter was chosen based on patient size;
  4 and 5F and 8 cm length catheters were the most used.

#### Outcome

The main outcome was the insertion of the catheter at the first attempt; secondary outcome was the overall success in cannulation, number of attempts and, as a complication of the procedure, the rate of arterial puncture.

Rescue was used after the 5th attempt in LM technique, changing to US Guidance.

Failure to achieve access in both methods was considered as unsuccessful cannulation and the puncture site was change.

# Statistical analysis

Descriptive analysis was performed for continuous variables with normal distribution using means and standard deviations, and continuous variables of not normal distribution and qualitative variables with medians and percentiles. For the primary outcome, proportions are compared for dichotomous variables using the Chi-square method. A value of p <0.05 will be considered significant. Stata® 12.0 Software will be used for statistical analysis.

Multivariate Logistic Regression with Stepwise technique is performed in order to recognize independence of the method used in Outcome versus other variables that could be influencing the final result.

#### Results

Ninety-nine patients were recruited, 50 in the US group and 49 in the LM group, one patient was lost during randomization. The characteristics of the patients were similar for both groups (Table 1). The success on the insertion of the catheter at the first attempt was significantly higher in the US group (US 42% vs. LM 18%, p 0.011) as well as overall cannulation success (US 84% vs. LM51% p <0.001) (Table 2). In relation to the number of attempts to achieve successful cannulation, again the ultrasound method was superior to the LM technique (Table 3). While the protocol includes rescues, few of them were performed and thus a more thorough analysis cannot be performed

The multivariate logistic regression evaluates the participation of other variables contributing to the outcome, such as type of technique, operator experience, gender,

Table 1 Patient characteristics.					
	LM n = 49	US n = 50			
Age (months)†	12	14			
Weight (kg)†	10	9.2			
Male (%)	55	56			
Nutritional E.‡					
Malnutrition	8	9			
Eutrophic	34	32			
Overweight	2	7			
Obesity	5	2			

LM, landmark method.

US real-time ultrasound guidance method.

Data expressed in medians † and numbers ‡.

There was no statistical difference between the two groups. Considering significant *p*-value <0.05.

Table 2 Outcomes.

	LM	US	p-Value
Cannulation on the first try (%)	18	42	0.011
Success in cannulation (%)	51	84	< 0.001
Arterial puncture (%)	25	10	0.056

LM. landmark method.

US real-time ultrasound guidance method.

Data expressed in percentage.

Considering significant p-value < 0.05.

Table 3 Number of attempts.

Number of attempts	LM	US	Odds	IC-95%
1	9	21	2.333	1.06-5.09
2	4	18	4.500	1.52-13.29
3	6	3	0.666	0.18-2.36
4	2	0	0	
5	4	0	0	

Test of homogeneity (equal odds):  $chi^2 = 16.26$ . Pr >  $chi^2 = 0.0027$ . Score test for trend of odds:  $chi^2 = 10.59$ . Pr >  $chi^2 = 0.0011$ . p < 0.05 is considered clinically significant.

age, nutritional status and the initial side chosen; among them, US Guidance was the only method predicting success in cannulation ((OR 5.04), 1.966-12.915, p 0.001).

Regarding complications, femoral artery puncture was lower in the US group (5 patients) vs. 12 in LM group (p 0.056).

#### Discussion

This is the first randomized study conducted in general PICUs, with residents trained in vascular accesses guided by ultrasound, which is one of the strengths of this study.

Similar to adults, the greatest risk factor for complications in children during venous catheterization is the number of attempts. 14-16 The number of

attempts is strongly associated with failure frequencies and complications. Mansfield et al.<sup>17</sup> observed that the complication rate at the first puncture is 4.3%, but in the second it increases to 24.0%. They recommend that if more than three attempts are required to cannulate the vein, a guidance method should be strongly considered since further attempts increases the risk of complications compared to successful cannulation in the first attempt.<sup>18,19</sup>

When comparing the results of our study with those published in femoral vein accesses, 9,10,20,21 our success rate at the first attempt was low for both groups, especially in the LM technique. We believe that this is given by the strict definition of "cannulation attempt" since the fact of rearranging the needle in any direction means a new attempt, therefore the rate at the first attempt in the US is not so high either.

Auoad et al. 9 shows success in cannulation of 95% in both methods, but the number of attempts reaches 8 in the US and 21 in the LM. In our work, it was limited to 5 attempts to reduce complications.

The results obtained in our study reinforce what has already been demonstrated in the adult population, 11,10,20 that the use of ultrasound increases the success rate and is associated with a lower risk of complications. When performing logistic regression, it is even more evident that the US method is associated with the success of the operator's independent installation, age, gender, nutritional status and the side chosen to be punctured.

#### Conclusions

According to our results, the US-guidance insertion of CVCs via the femoral vein increases the likelihood of cannulation success, particularly at the first attempt and minimize the rate of arterial puncture. While it is intuitively recommended to incorporate this technology into the usual practice in the PICU, larger studies are needed to corroborate these findings, using the femoral vein as well as internal jugular and axillary-subclavian US-guidance approaches.

### Author's contribution

Pietro Pietroboni: Work design, protocol, supervision, execution, writing and sending documents

cution, writing and sending documents

Cristian Carvajal: Work design and protocol. Statistic analysis

Yuri Zuleta: Execution and translation

Paula Ortiz: Execution Bettina von Dessauer: Design Michel Drago: Execution

Yalda Lucero: Design, revision and translation

#### Financial support

Research Unit, Luis Calvo Mackenna Hospital.

# Conflict of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

100 P.F. Pietroboni et al.

#### References

- National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Guidance on the use of ultrasound locating devices for placing central venous catheters. Technology Appraisal Guidance – No.49; 2002. p. 1–21.
- American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Central Venous Access, Rupp SM, Apfelbaum JL, Caplan RA, Connis RT, Domino KB, Fleisher LA, et al. Practice guidelines for central venous access: a report by the American society of anesthesiologists task force on central venous access. Anesthesiology. 2012:116:539-73.
- Wu S-Y, Ling Q, Cao L-H, Wang J, Xu MX, Zeng. Real-time two-dimensional ultrasound guidance for central venous cannulation. A meta-analysis. Anesthesiology. 2013;118: 361–75.
- Weiner M, Geldard P, Mittnacht A. Ultrasound-guided vascular access: a comprehensive review. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2013;27:345–60.
- Grebenik CR, Boyce A, Sinclair ME, Evans RD, Mason DG, Martin B, et al. NICE guidelines for central venous catheterization in children is the evidence base sufficient? Br J Anaesth. 2004;92:827–30.
- Verghese ST, McGill WA, Patel RI, Sell JE, Midgley FM, Ruttimann UE. Ultrasound-guided internal jugular venous cannulation in infants: a prospective comparison with the traditional palpation method. Anesthesiology. 1999;91:71–7.
- 7. Verghese ST, McGill WA, Patel RI, Sell JE, Midgley FM, Ruttimann UE. Comparison of three techniques for internal jugular vein cannulation in infants. Paediatr Anaesth. 2000;10:505–11.
- Iwashima S, Ishikawa T, Ohzeki T. Ultrasound-guided versus landmark-guided femoral vein access in pediatric cardiac catheterization. Pediatr Cardiol. 2008;29:339–42.
- Aouad M, Kanazi G, Abdallah F, Moukaddem FH, Turbay MJ, Obeid MY, et al. Femoral vein cannulation performed by residents: a comparison between ultrasound-guided and landmark technique in infants and children undergoing cardiac surgery. Anesth Analg. 2010;111:724-8.

- Prabhu MV, Juneja D, Gopal PB, Sathyanarayanan M, Subhramanyam S, Gandhe S, et al. Ultrasound-guided femoral dialysis access placement: a single-center randomized trial. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2010;5:235–9.
- 11. Kwon TH, Kim YL, Cho DK. Ultrasound-guided cannulation of the femoral vein for acute haemodialysis access. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 1997;12:1009–12.
- **12.** Blanco P. Ultrasound-guided vascular cannulation in critical care patients: a practical review. Med Intensiva. 2016;40:560–71.
- Truman J, Milling MD Jr, John Rose MD. Randomized, controlled clinical trial of point-of-care limited ultrasonography assistance of central venous cannulation: the third sonography outcomes assessment program (SOAP-3) trial. Crit Care Med. 2005:33:1764-9.
- **14.** Johnson EM, Saltzman DA, Suh G, Dahms RA, Leonard AS. Complications and risks of central venous catheter placement in children. Surgery. 1998;124:911–6.
- **15.** Karapinar B, Cura A. Complications of central venous catheterization in critically ill children. Pediatr Int. 2007;49:593–9.
- **16.** Casado-Flores J, Barja J, Martino R, et al. Complications of central venous catheterization in critically ill children. Pediatr Crit Care Med. 2001;2:57–62.
- Mansfield PF, Hohn DC, Fornage BD, et al. Complications and failures of subclavian vein catheterization. N Engl J Med. 1994;331:1735–8.
- **18.** McGee D, Gould M. Current concepts complications of central venous catheterization. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:1123–33.
- **19.** Klerk C, Smorenburg SM, Buller HR. Thrombosis prophylaxis in patient populations with a central venous catheter: a systematic review. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1913–21.
- 20. Airapetian N, Maizel J, Langelle F, Modeliar SS, Karakitsos D, Dupont H, et al. Ultrasound-guided central venous cannulation is superior to quick-look ultrasound and landmark methods among inexperienced operators: a prospective randomized study. Intensive Care Med. 2013;39:1938–44.
- Hilty WM, Hudson PA, Levitt MA, et al. Real-time ultrasoundguided femoral vein catheterization during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Ann Emerg Med. 1997;29:331–6.