



## SCIENTIFIC LETTERS

### Characteristics of critical patients with COVID-19 in a Spanish second-level hospital



### Características de los pacientes ingresados con COVID-19 en la UCI de un hospital de segundo nivel en España

Dear Editor,

According to epidemiological data, critical care is needed by 5% of COVID-19 patients.<sup>1</sup> Patients requiring ICU admission exceeded the total capacity of many hospitals, posing national healthcare systems at risk. An impressive effort from ICU teams managed to multiply their capacity by two or three-fold, trying to cover the continuous need for ICU beds.<sup>2,3</sup>

We report adult ICU admissions related to COVID-19 at a polyvalent ICU of a second-level hospital, with eight beds available in summer, and 10 in winter (0.47–0.59 ICU beds/10,000 inhabitants). Our goals included to analyse patients' characteristics, identify factors associated with mortality, and share our experience regarding the organisational changes that were made in an environment of care-providing under pressure.

We performed a retrospective study with nasal/throat swabs or bronchial aspirate positive for SARS-CoV-2 by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) hospitalised between March 5th and May 7th, 2020. Patients transferred to other centres, and those still in ICU were excluded. Treatment adhered to current national protocols. The local IRB approved the study. We analysed clinical characteristics and performed a logistic regression multivariate analysis, including all variables to check the causes and associated factors of ICU mortality. We report numbers (percentages) for binary/categorical variables and medians (interquartile ranges) for continuous variables. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 20.0.

During the aforementioned period, 54 patients presented a positive PCR. Forty-eight patients were finally included (excluding six patients remaining in ICU). The median age was 65 years (IQR 59–72). 65.3% were men. 73.5% of the patients had associated comorbidity. The main diagnosis on admission was respiratory failure due to pneumonia (87.8%).

Table 1 depicts the characteristics of the included patients. 77.1% of the patients survived upon ICU discharge. Non-survivors were older, presented a significantly higher rate of cardiovascular comorbidities, a higher number of organ failures (especially relevant regarding liver and kidney failure), and an increased need in prone positioning during ICU stay.

We would like to highlight that patients included had a 22.4% mortality during ICU admission. In our cohort, mortality was significantly associated with cardiovascular comorbidities and a higher number of associated organ failures. It should be noted that the published mortality rate is extremely variable, ranging from was 88% for patients who received mechanical ventilation<sup>4</sup> to a reported 26% in an Italian study.<sup>5</sup> Regarding management of respiratory failure and compared to other published studies,<sup>6</sup> we observed a higher percentage of invasive mechanical ventilation therapy, with a lower prone rate and a similar use of neuromuscular blockade. Though our initial database was not designed to analyse the effect of the applied treatments, we intend to carry out an analysis in the future that will include it.

These encouraging data have been possible after multiplying our capacity by four and achieving a ratio of 1.8 ICU beds/10,000 inhabitants, reaching a peak of 30 critical patients admitted at the same time, with a maximum of 27 patients under mechanical ventilation on the same day. These results are thanks to an all-around strategy, based on our stable model of "ICU without walls",<sup>7</sup> multidisciplinary collaboration (enabling operating rooms, Major Outpatient Surgery, Reanimation and Emergency Department), maintenance of our protective mechanical ventilation protocol (personalised adjustment of PEEP using, whenever possible, electric impedance tomography), and reinforcement of our follow-up protocol after ICU discharge.

The COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated the need for quick and innovative response mechanisms. New protocols

**Table 1** Demographics.

Variable	Non-survivors (N = 11)	Survivors (N = 37)	p-Value
Age (median, IQR)	72.0 (66.0–75.0)	63.0 (57.5–68.5)	<b>0.009</b>
Sex (number, percentage)			
Male	10 (90.9)	22 (59.5)	0.052
Female	1 (9.1)	15 (40.5)	
Previous location (number, percentage)			
Hospital ward	7 (63.6)	22 (59.5)	0.620
Emergency department	4 (36.4)	12 (34.2)	
Operating room	0 (0.0)	3 (8.1)	
Main diagnosis on admission (number, percentage)			
Respiratory failure due to pneumonia	11 (100.0)	32 (89.5)	0.894
Postoperative	0 (0.0)	5 (10.5)	
Comorbidities (number, percentage)			
Cardiovascular	8 (72.7)	13 (35.1)	<b>0.027</b>
COPD, asthma or interstitial lung disease	0 (0.0)	5 (13.5)	0.198
Chronic kidney disease	0 (0.0)	2 (5.4)	0.431
Malignancy or hematologic disease	0 (0.0)	6 (16.2)	0.153
Endocrine (mainly diabetes mellitus)	5 (45.5)	16 (43.2)	0.897
Chronic liver disease	2 (18.2)	1 (2.7)	0.063
Neurologic (mainly previous ischaemic stroke)	0 (0.0)	3 (8.1)	0.329
Severity scores on admission (median, IQR)			
SAP3	65.0 (57.0–66.0)	57.0 (53.0–63.5)	0.053
Clinical Frailty Scale	3.0 (2.0–3.0)	3.0 (2.0–3.0)	0.438
SOFA	5.0 (4.0–8.0)	5.0 (4.0–7.5)	0.316
Days of hospitalisation prior to ICU admission (median, IQR)	2.0 (1.0–4.0)	2.0 (1.0–3.5)	0.891
ICU length of stay (median, IQR)	15.0 (12.0–23.0)	12.0 (6.5–17.5)	0.430
Organ failure (number, percentage)			
Cardiovascular	11 (100.0)	28 (77.8)	0.086
Respiratory failure	11 (100.0)	33 (91.7)	0.322
Kidney failure	11 (100.0)	10 (27.8)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Liver failure	5 (45.5)	2 (5.6)	<b>0.001</b>
Hematologic	4 (36.4)	5 (13.9)	0.097
Neurological	1 (9.1)	11 (30.6)	0.153
Number of organ failures per patient (median, IQR)	4.0 (3.0–5.0)	2.0 (2.0–3.5)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Respiratory failure management (number, percentage)			
Invasive Mechanical Ventilation	11 (100.0)	32 (86.5)	0.198
ARDS diagnosis	11 (100.0)	32 (86.5)	0.216
Days on MV (median, IQR)	20.0 (13.5–24.0)	11.0 (9.0–16.0)	0.056
Percutaneous tracheostomy	0 (0.0)	2 (4.5)	0.431
Prone positioning	10 (90.1)	15 (40.5)	<b>0.003</b>
Neuromuscular blockade	10 (90.1)	25 (67.6)	0.126

ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome. COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. CRRT: continuous renal replacement therapy. IQR: interquartile range. MV: mechanical ventilation. SAPS: Simplified Acute Physiology Score. SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment.

should cover the growing demands while trying to maintain adequate care for our patients. The challenge remains.

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Study was approved by local Research Ethics Committee. Data was anonymized at the time of inclusion in the study.

### Authors' contributions

BLV and MMGA wrote and prepared the manuscript. DVD performed statistical analysis. RBP and FG supervised and approved the final version of the manuscript.

### Conflict of interest

Authors admit no competing interests regarding publication of this manuscript.

## References

1. Rascado P, Ballesteros MA, Bodí MA, Carrasco LF, Castellanos A, Catalán M, et al. Contingency plan for the intensive care services for the COVID-19 pandemic. *Med Intensiva*. 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.medin.2020.03.006>.
  2. Ma X, Vervoort D. Critical care capacity during the COVID-19 pandemic: Global availability of intensive care beds. *J Crit Care*. 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2020.04.012>.
  3. Ballesteros Sanz MA, Hernández-Tejedor A, Estella Á, Jiménez Rivera JJ, González de Molina Ortiz FJ, Sandiumenge Camps A, et al. Recommendations of the Working Groups from the Spanish Society of Intensive and Critical Care Medicine and Coronary Units (SEMICYUC) for the management of adult critically ill patients in the coronavirus disease (COVID-19). *Med Intensiva*. 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.medin.2020.04.001>.
  4. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalised with COVID-19 in the New York City Area. *JAMA*. 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.6775> [Epub ahead of print].
  5. Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, Antonelli M, Cabrini L, Castelli A, et al. Baseline characteristics and outcomes of 1591 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy Region Italy. *JAMA*. 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.5394> [Epub ahead of print].
  6. Blake A, Collins D, O'Connor E, Bergin C, McLaughlin AM, Martin-Loeches I. Clinical and biochemical characteristics of patients admitted to ICU with SARS-CoV-2. *Med Intensiva*. 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.medin.2020.05.003>.
  7. Abella Alvarez A, Torrejon Perez I, Enciso Calderon V, Hermosa Gelbard C, Sicilia Urban JJ, Ruiz Grinspan M, et al. ICU without walls project Effect of the early detection of patients at risk. *Med Intensiva*. 2020;37:12–8.
- B. Lobo-Valbuena<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, M<sup>g</sup>. García-Arias<sup>c,b</sup>, R.B. Pérez<sup>d</sup>, D.V. Delgado<sup>e</sup>, F. Gordo<sup>f,b</sup>
- <sup>a</sup> *Intensivista – Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos del Hospital Universitario del Henares, Coslada, Madrid, Spain*  
<sup>b</sup> *Grupo de Investigación en Patología Crítica, Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Francisco de Vitoria, Pozuelo de Alarcón, Madrid, Spain*  
<sup>c</sup> *Supervisora de Enfermería de la Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos del Hospital Universitario del Henares, Coslada, Madrid, Spain*  
<sup>d</sup> *Anestesiista – Servicio de Anestesia del Hospital Universitario del Henares, Coslada, Madrid, Spain*  
<sup>e</sup> *Responsable de la Unidad de Apoyo a la Investigación. Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Francisco de Vitoria, Pozuelo de Alarcón, Madrid, Spain*  
<sup>f</sup> *Jefe de Servicio de la Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos del Hospital Universitario del Henares, Coslada, Madrid, Spain*
- \* Corresponding author.  
 E-mail address: [b.lobo.valbuena@gmail.com](mailto:b.lobo.valbuena@gmail.com)  
 (B. Lobo-Valbuena).