



ORIGINAL

A new chest compression technique in infants[☆]



E. Rodríguez-Ruiz^{a,c,*}, V. Guerra Martín^b, C. Abelairas-Gómez^{c,d}, F. Sampedro Vidal^e,
C. Gómez González^f, R. Barcala-Furelos^g, A. Rodríguez-Nuñez^{c,h,i}

^a Servicio de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Santiago de Compostela, SERGAS, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, A Coruña, Spain

^b Servicio de Pediatría, Hospital Universitario de Canarias, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

^c Grupos de investigación CLINURSID, de la Universidad de Santiago de Compostela y Soporte Vital y Simulación del Instituto de Investigación de Santiago (IDIS), Santiago de Compostela, Spain

^d Facultad de Ciencias de la Educación, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Spain

^e Facultad de Enfermería, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Spain

^f Servicio de Pediatría, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Coruña, La Coruña, Spain

^g Grupo de Investigación REMOSS, Facultad de Ciencias de la Educación Física y el Deporte, Universidad de Vigo, Pontevedra, Spain

^h Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos Pediátricos, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Santiago de Compostela, SERGAS, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, A Coruña, Spain

ⁱ Red de Salud Materno-Infantil SAMID-III, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain

Received 25 January 2018; accepted 22 April 2018

Available online 18 May 2019

KEYWORDS

Cardiopulmonary resuscitation
[E02.365.647.110];
Heart massage
[E02.365.647.375];
Advanced cardiac life support
[E02.365.647.110.500];
Infant [M01.060.703];
Pediatric emergency medicine
[H02.403.670.450];
Teacher training
[I02.358.984]

Abstract

Objective: To compare the quality of chest compressions performed according to the classical technique (MT) vs. a new technique (NM) (compression with 2 thumbs with closed fists) in an infant manikin.

Design: A controlled, randomized cross-over study was carried out in professionals assisting pediatric patients.

Setting: A University Hospital with a Pediatric ICU in the north of Spain.

Participants: Residents and nurses in Pediatrics who had completed a basic and an advanced pediatric cardiopulmonary resuscitation course.

Interventions: Quantitative analysis of the variables referred to chest compression quality in a 2-min cardiopulmonary resuscitation scenario in infants. Laerdal's SimPad[®] with SkillReporter[™] system was used.

Main variables of interest: Mean rate and percentage of compressions in the recommended rate range, mean depth and percentage of compressions within the depth range of recommendations, percentage of compressions with adequate decompression, and percentage of compressions performed with the fingers in the center of the chest.

[☆] Please cite this article as: Rodríguez-Ruiz E, Guerra Martín V, Abelairas-Gómez C, Sampedro Vidal F, Gómez González C, Barcala-Furelos R, et al. Nueva técnica de masaje cardíaco en lactantes. Med Intensiva. 2019;43:346–351.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: erguezr@gmail.com (E. Rodríguez-Ruiz).

Results: Global quality of the compressions (NM: $84.2 \pm 23.7\%$ vs. MT: $80.1 \pm 25.4\%$ [$P = .25$; $P = \text{ns}$]), percentage of compressions with correct depth (NM: $59.9 \pm 35.8\%$ vs. MT: $59.5 \pm 35.7\%$ [$P = .76$; $P = \text{ns}$]), mean depth reached (NM: 37.3 ± 3.8 mm vs. MT: 36 ± 5.3 mm [$P = .06$; $P = \text{ns}$]), percentage of complete re-expansion of the chest (NM: $94.4 \pm 9.3\%$ vs. MT: $92.4 \pm 18.3\%$ [$P = .58$; $P = \text{ns}$]), and percentage of compressions with the recommended rate (NM: $62.2 \pm 34.6\%$ vs. MT: $51 \pm 37.2\%$ [$P = .13$; $P = \text{ns}$]) proved similar with both methods.

Conclusions: The quality of chest compressions with the new method (thumbs with closed fists) is similar to that afforded by the traditional method.

© 2018 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and SEMICYUC. All rights reserved.

PALABRAS CLAVE

Reanimación
cardiopulmonar
[E02.365.647.110];
Masaje cardiaco
[E02.365.647.375];
Soporte Avanzado de
Vida Cardiaca
[E02.365.647.110.500];
Niños [M01.060.703];
Medicina de urgencia
pediátrica
[H02.403.670.450];
Formación
[I02.358.984]

Nueva técnica de masaje cardíaco en lactantes

Resumen

Objetivo: Comparar en un maniquí de lactante la calidad de las compresiones torácicas según el método tradicional (MT) o según la nueva técnica de 2 pulgares con puños cerrados (NM).

Diseño: Estudio controlado, aleatorizado y cruzado en profesionales.

Ámbito: Hospital Universitario con UCI Pediátrica del norte de España.

Participantes: Residentes y enfermeros de Pediatría, habiendo superado un curso de RCP básica y avanzada pediátrica.

Intervenciones: Análisis cuantitativo de calidad de compresiones torácicas en escenario de RCP en lactante durante 2 min, mediante el sistema SimPad® con SkillReporter™ de Laerdal.

Variables de interés principales: Frecuencia media y porcentaje de compresiones en rango recomendada, profundidad media y porcentaje de compresiones en rango recomendado, porcentaje de compresiones con descompresión adecuada y porcentaje de compresiones realizadas con los dedos en el centro del tórax.

Resultados: La calidad global de las compresiones (NM: $84,2 \pm 23,7\%$ vs. MT: $80,1 \pm 25,4\%$ [$p = 0,25$; no sig.]), el porcentaje de compresiones con profundidad correcta (NM: $59,9 \pm 35,8\%$ vs. MT: $59,5 \pm 35,7\%$ [$p = 0,76$; no sig.]), la profundidad media alcanzada (NM: $37,3 \pm 3,8$ mm vs. MT: $36 \pm 5,3$ mm [$p = 0,06$; no sig.]), el porcentaje de reexpansión completa de la caja torácica (NM: $94,4 \pm 9,3\%$ vs. MT: $92,4 \pm 18,3\%$ [$p = 0,58$; no sig.]) y el porcentaje de compresiones con la frecuencia recomendada (NM: $62,2 \pm 34,6\%$ vs. MT: $51 \pm 37,2\%$ [$p = 0,13$; no sig.]) fueron similares con los 2 métodos.

Conclusiones: La calidad de compresiones torácicas con el nuevo método (pulgares con los puños cerrados) es similar a la obtenida con el método tradicional.

© 2018 Elsevier España, S.L.U. y SEMICYUC. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Pediatric cardiorespiratory arrest (CRA) is a rare event that requires immediate quality action for short-term recovery and survival free from unwanted neurological side effects in the victim.¹⁻⁶

However, we have seen that in practice, the quality of ventilations and chest compressions is usually poor even when cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) maneuvers are performed by healthcare providers highly experienced in pediatric care.⁷

There are several reasons behind this, among these the scarce frequency of CRA in children, the lack of training and re-training by healthcare providers, the lack of quality surveillance systems to monitor the CPR maneuvers or even the use of the most appropriate CPR maneuvers.⁸⁻¹¹ Basically, and same as it happens with adults, there is no doubt

that quality chest compressions impact the results which is why choosing a technique that provides the best organic perfusion possible during the CPR should be the primary goal here.

It is odd to see that, even though pediatric CPR has been updated based on the available actual evidence over the last 20 years, chest compression techniques in infants have not changed that much, whether because new evidence has come to light or because it was never been seen as a priority research in the first place.¹²⁻¹⁴ Thus, the actual international clinical practice guidelines recommend to use the so-called 'two-finger technique' in both new-born babies and infants (Fig. 1) if only one resuscitator is present, and the 'hugging' technique with both hands (Fig. 2) when two resuscitators are present.¹⁵ Over the last few years, several studies have shown that the 'hugging' technique may be more effective than the 'two-finger technique'.¹⁶⁻¹⁹



Figure 1 Chest compression technique using two fingers.




Figure 2 'Hugging' technique using both hands.



Figure 3 New 'two-thumb' technique.

Recently, Smereka et al.²⁰ described a new technique for cardiac massage in infants that consists of using both thumbs in a 90° angle with respect to the infant's chest while closing the remaining fingers of both hands making a fist (Fig. 3). Such a technique allows exerting more force with less finger wear and tear, which in turn helps maintaining the quality of chest compressions in time.

Since the early results have been obtained by paramedics and possibly they cannot be generalized to the CPR maneuvers performed by healthcare providers, we decided to conduct this study in order to draw a quality comparison of the results obtained using the new technique and the traditional method when used by pediatric healthcare providers in a sham procedure using an infant manikin. Our hypothesis was that the new method was non-inferior compared to the recommended one.

Patients and methods

Twenty-eight (28) healthcare providers from a university hospital in northern Spain were included in this study. They had done a course on pediatric CPR and had been evaluated quantitatively using Laerdal QPCR-meter. Participation was voluntary and they did not receive any monetary compensation for their collaboration in the study.

Study design

This was an observational, randomized, crossover study with infant manikins (SimBaby™, Laerdal Medical, Stavanger, Norway, that simulates a 3-month old infant) conducted between January and May 2017.

All participants knew how to perform the 'hugging' technique with both hands, the so-called Traditional Method (TM), as recommended by the actual clinical practice guidelines for the management of pediatric CPR.¹⁵ Before doing this test, the so-called New Method (NM)²⁰ was briefly explained to them and they were allowed to practice it for about 5 min so they could become familiar with it.

Prior to starting the test, the order in which one method or the other would be performed was randomized: 12 participants started performing the NM (43%) and the remaining 16 participants (57%) the TM.

Each participant performed two tests of pediatric CPR with a 15:2 compression/ventilation ratio for 2 min with a 30 min rest between one and the other. Chest compressions were performed using two different techniques in a randomized sequence:

- Hugging technique with both hands (TM) (Fig. 2): in this technique both fingers are placed on the lower half of the sternum with the remaining fingers somehow hugging the rib cage while resting on the infant's back.
- New technique of using both thumbs with the fists closed (NM) (Fig. 3): it consists of using both thumbs in a 90° angle with respect to the chest while the remaining fingers of both hands stay closed making two fists.

The participants did the cardiac massage only; the ventilations were performed by researchers in order to avoid any biases and using a self-inflating bag and a mask that was the size of the manikin.

Data mining

Data on chest compression were recorded with a SimBaby™ manikin using the SimPad® system with SkillReporter™ (Laerdal Medical, Stavanger, Norway). For every CPR sequence, the following variables were recorded: frequency of compressions, percentage of compressions with the recommended frequency, percentage of adequate depth compressions, percentage of sufficient re-expansion compressions, compressions with a right position of the fingers, and overall quality (a parameter that weighs in on every component of chest compressions). Also, the participants were asked for their opinion on which of the two methods was the easiest to use and which was the least exhausting of the two in order to evaluate the average difficulty perceived




Figure 4 Quality compression of the CPR between both methods.

using a subjective score between 1 (lowest difficulty) and 10 (greatest difficulty).

Once the test was over, all participants filled out a questionnaire for demographic information purposes.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using the Epidat v.4.2 software package (Consellería de Sanidade, Xunta de Galicia, Spain; Pan American Health Organization (PAHO-WHO); CES University, Colombia). Results are expressed as mean and standard deviation. The paired t test was used for the continuous variables in order to compare both methods. In all analyses, P values $<.05$ were considered significant.

Results

Of the 28 healthcare providers included in this study, 16 were women and 12 were men; 13 were nurses, 9 were doctors, and 6 were paramedics. Their average age was 29.5 ± 6.5 years, their average height was 169 ± 10.7 cm, and their average weight was 69.7 ± 12.5 kg.

The overall quality of the compressions was similar between the two methods used and there were no significant differences between the two (NM: $84.2 \pm 23.7\%$ vs. TM: $80.1 \pm 25.4\%$ [$P = .25$]) (Fig. 4). There were no significant differences either in the percentage of compressions performed at the right depth (NM: $59.9 \pm 35.8\%$ vs. TM: $59.5 \pm 35.7\%$ [$P = .76$]), the average depth reached (NM: 37.3 ± 3.8 mm vs. TM: 36 ± 5.3 mm [$P = .06$]) (Fig. 5), the percentage of complete rib cage re-expansion (NM: $94.4 \pm 9.3\%$ vs. TM: $92.4 \pm 18.3\%$ [$P = .58$]) and the percentage of compressions with the recommended frequency (NM: $62.2 \pm 34.6\%$ vs. TM: $51 \pm 37.2\%$ [$P = .13$]) (Fig. 6 and Table 1).

The difficulty perceived by the participants with every method was 4.5 ± 2.5 over 10 for the NM compared to 4.8 ± 2.1 over 10 for the TM ($P = .20$).

Discussion

Although quality chest compressions are essential if we want to recover spontaneous circulation when managing CRAs, it has been observed that even healthcare providers perform this maneuver sub-optimally, which is why it has been recommended to reinforce the training of this personnel and




Figure 5 Depth and frequency of compressions with both methods.

use (both through courses and in routine clinical practice) real-time monitoring and feedback devices.

In the case of infants, added to the inadequate expertise of healthcare providers, the compression technique per se may not be the most effective of all. That is why the aforementioned new technique has been proposed here in an attempt to improve the outcomes of traditional techniques, especially when it comes to compression depth and in order to maintain quality through time since the wear and tear on the healthcare provider is lower.

Our results are consistent with those from the authors who described this technique²⁰ in the sense that the NM proved to be non-inferior to the TM when used by healthcare providers who had already been trained and had already shown their abilities with the TM but practically knew nothing about the NM (they received a 5 min practical class only). These results make us think that if these participants had been properly trained on the NT, their results would have probably been superior to the results obtained with the TM.²⁰ Following our results, it may be interesting to compare both methods used by healthcare professionals well-trained in the management of the NM.

The position of the hands in the NM allows the resuscitator to exert pressure with the entire arm and body weight, which means that chest compressions are also deeper, and the resuscitator's wear and tear is lower compared to the TM where force is exerted by the thumbs only. Also, as Smereka et al.²⁰ showed, when it comes to using the NM, success is not directly influenced by the size of the resuscitator's hands or by the patient's chest diameter as it is the case with the




Figure 6 Evaluation of the CPR parameters of quality for both methods.

Table 1 Comparison of quality parameters of chest compressions based on the new method (NM) or the traditional method (TM).

	NM (n = 28)	TM (n = 28)	P
QCPR (%)	84.2 ± 23.7	80.1 ± 25.4	.25
Corr. compressions (%)	59.9 ± 35.8	59.5 ± 35.7	.76
Depth (mm)	37.3 ± 3.8	36 ± 5.3	.06
Complete re-exp. (%)	94.4 ± 9.3	92.4 ± 18.3	.58
Corr. frequency (%)	62.2 ± 34.6	51 ± 37.2	.13

NM: new method; TM: traditional method; QCPR: CPR overall quality; Corr. compressions: compressions with a correct positioning of the fingers; Complete re-exp.: percentage of compressions with sufficient re-expansion; Corr. frequency: percentage of compressions with the recommended frequency.

TM, which actually is an advantage when dealing with CRA in big infants and resuscitators with relatively small hands (in many cases, women). However, we did not see this possible advantage in our case since the subjective perception of difficulty when healthcare providers performed the chest compressions was similar with both methods.

Our study has some limitations that should be taken into consideration here. On the one hand, we are talking about a sham setting with manikins, so the results cannot be directly extrapolated to the healthcare provided to real infants. In any case, our data justify conducting studies to evaluate the potential impact of the NM in the management of actual CRAs. The number of individuals included in this study was scarce, although it was large enough to assess the hypothesis of non-inferiority of the NM which actually was our main goal. It is possible that, in order to prove the superiority of the NM, we will need to recruit a larger number of patients, which is something we intend to do in future studies.

In sum, the quality of chest compressions with the new method (compressions using both thumbs and closed fists) is similar to the one obtained with the traditional method. New studies should be conducted on this regard though, both with manikins and actual patients in order to know what the real potential of this new CPR technique is when used in infants.

Author contributions

ERR: He participated in the study design, reviewed all data and wrote the final version of this manuscript.

VGM: He collaborated in the review of data, the statistical analysis and was one of the writers of this manuscript.

CAG: He participated in the study design, conducted the statistical analysis, and worked on the figures.

CGG and FSV: They both carried out field work and monitored the quality of data used.

RBF: He participated in the study design and launch and reviewed both the results and this manuscript.

ARN: He participated in the study design, supervised field work, worked on the results, and reviewed the final version of this manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declared no conflicts of interest whatsoever.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank all those who participated in this study.

References

1. Cheng A, Brown LL, Duff JP, Davidson J, Overly F, Tofil NM, et al., International Network for Simulation-Based Pediatric Innovation, Research & Education (INSPIRE) CPR Investigators. Improving cardiopulmonary resuscitation with a CPR feedback device and refresher simulations (CPR CARES Study): a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2015;169:137–44.
2. Nichol G, Leroux B, Wang H, Callaway CW, Sopko G, Weisfeldt M, et al. Trial of continuous or interrupted chest compressions during CPR. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;373:2203–14.
3. Cheskes S, Schmicker RH, Christenson J, Salcido DD, Rea T, Powell J, et al. Perishock pause: an independent predictor of survival from out-of-hospital shockable cardiac arrest. *Circulation.* 2011;124:58–66.
4. Christenson J, Andrusiek D, Everson-Stewart S, Kudenchuk P, Hostler D, Powell J, et al. Chest compression fraction determines survival in patients with out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation. *Circulation.* 2009;120:1241–7.
5. Idris AH, Guffey D, Aufderheide TP, Brown S, Morrison LJ, Nichols P, et al. Relationship between chest compression rates and outcomes from cardiac arrest. *Circulation.* 2012;125:3004–12.
6. Stiell IG, Brown SP, Christenson J, Cheskes S, Nichol G, Powell J, et al. What is the role of chest compression depth during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest resuscitation? *Crit Care Med.* 2012;40:1192–8.
7. Sutton RM, Wolfe H, Nishisaki A, Leffelman J, Niles D, Meaney PA, et al. Pushing harder, pushing faster, minimizing interruptions. but falling short of 2010 cardiopulmonary resuscitation targets during in-hospital pediatric and adolescent resuscitation. *Resuscitation.* 2013;84:1680–4.
8. Zebuhr C, Sutton RM, Morrison W, Niles D, Boyle L, Nishisaki A, et al. Evaluation of quantitative debriefing after pediatric cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation.* 2012;83:1124–8.
9. Niles D, Sutton RM, Donoghue A, Kalsi MS, Roberts K, Boyle L, et al. "Rolling refreshers": a novel approach to maintain CPR psychomotor skill competence. *Resuscitation.* 2009;80:909–12.
10. Sutton RM, Niles D, Meaney PA, Aplenc R, French B, Abella BS, et al. "Booster" training: evaluation of instructor-led bedside cardiopulmonary resuscitation skill training and automated corrective feedback to improve cardiopulmonary resuscitation compliance of pediatric basic life support providers during simulated cardiac arrest. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2011;12:e116–21.
11. Sutton RM, Niles D, Meaney PA, Aplenc R, French B, Abella BS, et al. Low-dose, high-frequency CPR training improves skill retention of in-hospital pediatric providers. *Pediatrics.* 2011;128:e145–51.
12. The American Heart Association in collaboration with the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. Guidelines 2000 for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. Part 11: Neonatal resuscitation. *Circulation.* 2000;102 Suppl.:I343–57.
13. American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and emergency cardiovascular care (ECC) of pediatric and neonatal patients: pediatric basic life support. *Pediatrics.* 2006;117:e989–1004.
14. Berg MD, Schexnayder SM, Chameides L, Terry M, Donoghue A, Hickey RW, et al. American Heart Association Pediatric basic life support: 2010 American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Pediatrics.* 2010;126:e1345–60.
15. Maconochie IK, Bingham R, Eich C, López-Herce J, Rodríguez-Núñez A, Rajka T, et al., Paediatric life support section Collaborators. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2015: Section 6. Paediatric life support. *Resuscitation.* 2015;95:223–48.
16. Menegazzi JJ, Auble TE, Nicklas KA, Hosack GM, Rack L, Goode JS. Two-thumb versus two-finger chest compression during CRP in a swine infant model of cardiac arrest. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1993;22:240–3.
17. Houry PK, Frank LR, Menegazzi JJ, Taylor R. A randomized, controlled trial of two-thumb vs. two-finger chest compression in a swine infant model of cardiac arrest [see comment]. *Prehosp Emerg Care.* 1997;1:65–7.
18. Whitelaw CC, Slywka B, Goldsmith LJ. Comparison of a two-finger versus two-thumb method for chest compressions by healthcare providers in an infant mechanical model. *Resuscitation.* 2000;43:213–6.
19. Christman C, Hemway RJ, Wyckoff MH, Perlman JM. The two-thumb is superior to the two-finger method for administering chest compressions in a manikin model of neonatal resuscitation. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 2011;96:F99–101.
20. Smereka J, Szarpak L, Smereka A, Leung S, Ruetzler K. Evaluation of new two-thumb chest compression technique for infant cardiopulmonary resuscitation performed by novice physicians. A randomized crossover, manikin trial. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2017;35:604–9.