



ORIGINAL

Evaluation of intensivist basic training in transthoracic echocardiography in the postoperative period of heart surgery



O. Moreno^{a,b,*}, A. Ochagavía^{c,d}, A. Artigas^{a,b,c,d,e}, C. Espinal^c, R. Tomás^a, M.D. Bosque^a, C. Fortià^e, F. Baigorri^{b,c}

^a Critical Care Department, Hospital Universitari General de Catalunya, Sant Cugat del Vallès, Spain

^b Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

^c Critical Care Department, Parc Taulí Hospital Universitari, Sabadell, Spain

^d CIBER de Enfermedades Respiratorias, Madrid, Spain

^e Critical Care Department, Hospital Universitari Sagrat Cor, Barcelona, Spain

Received 12 March 2018; accepted 3 June 2018

Available online 30 July 2018

KEYWORDS

Transthoracic echocardiography;
Heart surgery;
Basic and advanced training;
Critically ill patients

Abstract

Background: Transthoracic echocardiography can significantly change the management of many critical patients, and is being incorporated into many Intensive Care Units (ICU). Very few studies have examined the feasibility and impact of intensivists performing basic transthoracic echocardiography upon the management of critical patients after cardiac surgery. The present study therefore evaluates the quality of acquisition and accuracy of intensivist interpretation of basic transthoracic echocardiograms in the postoperative period of heart surgery.

Methods: Over an 8-month period we prospectively studied 148 patients within 24 h after admission to a university hospital ICU following heart surgery. We performed basic transthoracic echocardiography to evaluate ventricular function, pericardial effusion, hypovolemia and mitral regurgitation. Cohen's Kappa was used to compare transthoracic echocardiograms obtained by intensivists with basic versus advanced training. Concordance on image acquisition and interpretation was evaluated.

Results: We analyzed data of adequate transthoracic echocardiograms in 148 patients (92.5%). Apical four-chamber view and advanced trainees obtained better quality images. Concordance was good for right and left ventricular function ($\kappa = 0.7 \pm 0.14$ and 0.87 ± 0.05 , respectively), and moderate for the remaining parameters. Interpretation concordance between basic and advanced training intensivists was good ($\kappa = 0.73 \pm 0.05$).

Abbreviations: ICU, Intensive Care Unit.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: olgamfon@icloud.com (O. Moreno).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medin.2018.06.004>

0210-5691/© 2018 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U.

PALABRAS CLAVE

Ecocardiografía transtorácica;
Cirugía cardíaca;
Formación básica y avanzada;
Pacientes críticos

Conclusions: Intensivists with basic training in echocardiography are capable of performing and interpreting echocardiograms in most patients during the postoperative period of heart surgery.
© 2018 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U.

Evaluación de la formación de intensivistas en ecocardiografía transtorácica básica en el postoperatorio de cirugía cardíaca

Resumen

Objetivo: La ecocardiografía transtorácica puede cambiar significativamente el manejo en muchos pacientes críticos y se está incorporando dentro de muchas unidades de cuidados intensivos (UCI). Pocos estudios han examinado la factibilidad y el impacto de la ecocardiografía transtorácica básica realizada por intensivistas en el manejo de los pacientes críticos después de una cirugía cardíaca. Por ello, nosotros evaluamos la calidad de adquisición y la precisión en la interpretación de la ecocardiografía básica realizada por intensivistas en los pacientes postoperados de cirugía cardíaca.

Métodos: Durante 8 meses, estudiamos prospectivamente 148 pacientes postoperados de cirugía cardíaca dentro de las primeras 24h de ingreso en una UCI de un hospital universitario. Realizamos una ecocardiografía transtorácica básica para evaluar función ventricular, líquido pericárdico, hipovolemia y regurgitación mitral. Utilizamos el coeficiente kappa de Cohen para comparar las ecocardiografías transtorácicas realizadas por los intensivistas con formación básica versus avanzada. Evaluamos la concordancia en la adquisición de imágenes y su interpretación.

Resultados: Analizamos los datos de las ecocardiografías transtorácicas de 148 pacientes (92,5%). La visión apical cuatro-cámaras y los intensivistas con formación avanzada obtuvieron mayor calidad de imagen. La concordancia fue buena para la función ventricular derecha e izquierda ($\kappa = 0,7 \pm 0,14$ y $0,87 \pm 0,05$, respectivamente), y moderada para el resto de parámetros. La concordancia de la interpretación entre los intensivistas con formación básica y avanzada fue buena ($\kappa = 0,73 \pm 0,05$).

Conclusiones: Los intensivistas formados en ecocardiografía transtorácica básica son capaces de obtener e interpretar las ecocardiografías en la mayoría de postoperados programados de cirugía cardíaca.

© 2018 Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U.

Background

Since more than 25 years, the usefulness of transthoracic echocardiography in the evaluation of critical patients has been established. This noninvasive imaging technique uses real-time dynamic images of the heart to provide morphologic and functional information that can identify the causes of shock, may help to predict the response to fluid replacement therapy, and to guide treatment. Echocardiography can significantly change the management in up to 46% of critical patients, and some groups have developed protocols to optimize the use of transthoracic echocardiography in critical care.¹⁻¹² Intensivists are recommended to learn echocardiography, and training in this technique is being incorporated into residency training programs.^{9,12-20}

Various scientific societies are developing guidelines for basic training in echocardiography for physicians other than cardiologists, based on existing consensus.^{6,12,21} The aim is to expand the use of this tool by teaching physicians to recognize specific parameters that can be useful in clinical practice. In critical care, two levels of competency

have been defined: basic and advanced. Basic training includes positioning the transducer to obtain images in the standard echocardiography planes (parasternal for long- and short-axis views, apical for four-chamber view, and subcostal for four-chamber view and visualization of the inferior vena cava). After basic training, physicians should be able to use echocardiography to diagnose severe hypovolemia, left ventricular failure, right ventricular failure, cardiac tamponade, acute massive mitral regurgitation, and the causes of cardiac arrest as well as to determine whether resuscitation has restored normal heart rhythm.²²⁻²⁷

Many studies have shown that it is useful for physicians other than cardiologists to correctly interpret echocardiograms.^{6,13,15,21,28-38} Although some studies have evaluated the usefulness of intensivists performing transesophageal echocardiography in critical patients,³⁹⁻⁴² few studies have evaluated the feasibility and impact of basic transthoracic echocardiography by intensivists in the management of critically ill patients and after cardiac surgery.^{34,43-45}

Transthoracic echocardiographies in intensive care unit are goal-directed. The objectives of transthoracic echocardiographies after cardiac surgery are the diagnosis of postoperative complications and the evaluation of cardiac function. The major difficulties of transthoracic echocardiography after cardiac surgery are due to the presence of chest drains, mechanical ventilation, postoperative inflammation and pain with mobilizations. This study aimed to evaluate the feasibility of basic transthoracic echocardiography in postoperative cardiac patients to analyze the quality and accuracy of acquisition by intensivists.

Patients and methods

The study was done in a 17-bed mixed medical/surgical intensive care unit (ICU) in a general university hospital with approximately 300 ICU admissions/year of cardiac surgery. Our institutional Clinical Research Ethics Committee approved the study protocol, and all participating patients provided written informed consent. We included all adult patients admitted to the ICU after cardiac surgery between November 2013 and July 2014 and a basic and an advanced echocardiography were performed. Intensivists underwent training in basic echocardiography from November 2012 through April 2013, consisting of a teaching program of 10 h and performing at least 30 basic transthoracic echocardiograms under the supervision of an expert. Knowledge and skills were assessed by written and practical examination according to the recommendations of guidelines.^{6,13,21,28} [Annex A \(supplementary material\)](#) describes the teaching program and examinations in detail.^{6,12,13,21,28}

Data collection

We recorded general demographic information; reason for ICU admission; comorbidities; severity indices (APACHE II, EuroSCORE); presurgical hemodynamic parameters and echocardiographic findings, when available. Mechanical ventilation parameters, use of vasoactive drugs and hemodynamic parameters were determined before the echocardiographic examination.

Within 24 h of admission, all patients underwent two independent consecutive basic transthoracic echocardiographies by a basic and advanced echocardiography trained intensivist that were interpreted separately. Four senior intensivists with basic training and two senior intensivists with advanced training (level 3 of competence in echocardiography with minimum 5 years of experience)^{28,46} (AO and OM) participated in the study. All echocardiograms were done using a cardiovascular ultrasound system (Vivid e, GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) with a 1.5 MHz–4.6 MHz transducer (M5S-D, GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA).

The basic echocardiography examination included the systematic evaluation of cardiac structure and function in the parasternal long- and short-axis views, apical four-chamber view, and subcostal four-chamber and inferior vena cava views. Intensivists graded the subjective difficulty of image acquisition in each acoustic window as “high” or “low”.

The following parameters were recorded in each examination:

- (1) Left ventricle: visual size, classified as “normal” or “dilated”; visual systolic function, classified as “normal” ($\geq 50\%$) or “depressed” ($< 50\%$); and contraction pattern, classified as “homogeneous” or “heterogeneous”.
- (2) Right ventricle: visual size, classified as “normal” or “dilated” and visual systolic function, classified as “normal” or “depressed”.
- (3) Valves: massive mitral regurgitation, classified as “present” or “absent”.
- (4) Inferior vena cava: classified as “normal”, “dilated”, or “not visualized”.
- (5) Pericardial effusion with or without cardiac tamponade, classified as “present” or “absent”.

With echocardiographic findings, we could obtain different echocardiographic patterns, that are exposed in [Annex B \(supplementary material\)](#).

All examinations, performed by basic and advanced trained intensivists, were digitally recorded and later assessed by an intensivist with advanced training in transthoracic echocardiography, who graded image quality as “optimal” (complete identification of the endocardial borders of the left ventricle), “suboptimal” (identification of $> 50\%$ of the endocardial borders of the left ventricle), or “poor” (identification of $< 50\%$ of the endocardial borders of the left ventricle). Images graded “optimal” or “suboptimal” enabled a diagnosis to be reached.

We compared the echocardiographic findings and their interpretation between basic and advanced trained intensivists. The interpretation of intensivists with advanced training was considered the gold standard.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as means \pm standard deviations or frequencies and percentages. To determine the degree of concordance between the interpretation of basic and advanced trained intensivists, we used Cohen’s kappa coefficient with 95% confidence intervals.

We estimated that to distinguish between a kappa coefficient of 0.8 (near perfect concordance) and a kappa coefficient of 0.4 (poor concordance) with two-tailed test with a power of 90% and assuming 90% positive values, we would need to include at least 136 patients. We considered kappa ≤ 0 = poor concordance; kappa 0.01–0.2 = slight concordance; kappa 0.21–0.4 = minimum acceptable concordance; kappa 0.41–0.6 = moderate concordance; kappa 0.61–0.8 = good concordance; kappa 0.81–1: nearly perfect concordance.⁴⁷

Results

Patients’ characteristics

A total of 161 patients were admitted to the ICU after cardiac surgery during the study period. One patient refused to participate in the study and 12 of 161 (7.45%) patients were discarded because we could not obtain any image. Finally, we included 148 patients in the study. [Table 1](#) reports

Table 1 Demographic, clinical characteristics and cardiac surgery of patients included in the study (n = 148).

<i>Gender male (%)</i>	95 (64.2%)
<i>Age (years)</i>	67 (55–79)
<i>Weight (kg)</i>	75 (61–89)
<i>Height (cm)</i>	164 (155–173)
<i>Body mass index</i>	28 (23–33)
<i>EuroScore</i>	6 (3–9)
<i>APACHE-II</i>	9 (5–13)
<i>Comorbidities</i>	121 (81.8%)
Hypertension	108 (73%)
Diabetes	53 (35.8%)
Dyslipemia	93 (62.8%)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	20 (16.5%)
<i>Cardiac surgery</i>	
Valve replacement (alone)	69 (46.6%)
Coronary bypass (alone)	41 (27.7%)
Valve replacement and coronary bypass	17 (11.5%)
Valve replacement and other procedures ^a	10 (6.8%)
Other procedures ^a	11 (7.4%)

The table express the mean (standard deviation) or frequency (percentage).

^a Other procedures included myxoma excision, repair of interatrial septal defects, pericardiectomy. ...

the demographic and clinical characteristics and the type of cardiac surgery of the patients included in the study.

All patients underwent preoperative transthoracic echocardiography by a cardiologist. Seventy-eight patients (52.7%) had a hypertrophic left ventricle without dilation and 129 patients (87.2%) had a normal ejection fraction. One hundred forty-three patients (96.6%) had normal right ventricle size and function, and 139 patients (93.9%) had a non-dilated inferior vena cava with collapse >50%.

Postoperative transthoracic echocardiography

Clinical characteristics at the time of the postoperative transthoracic echocardiography are described in [Table 2](#).

Only two patients required an intra-aortic balloon pump.

Because all patients had chest drains, many had pain on movement, and some were intubated, 136 (91.9%) echocardiograms were done in the supine position; the remaining (12; 8.1%) were done in the left lateral decubitus position. The mean duration of the examination was 16 ± 5 min by basic trained intensivists and 15 ± 5 min by advanced trained intensivists.

Advanced trained intensivists obtained adequate images in all patients. The best image quality was obtained in the apical four-chamber view and the worst in the subcostal view of the inferior vena cava. Intensivists with advanced training achieved better image quality.

The results of basic and advanced trained intensivists echocardiographies are shown in [Table 3](#). We could see that basic trained intensivists tended to interpret as normal both size and function of both left and right ventricle in those limit values. Also, we could see that basic trained tended to diagnose more hypovolemia than advanced trained intensivists.

Table 2 Postoperative characteristics and hemodynamic state in the moment of the echocardiographies.

<i>Heart rhythm (patients (%))</i>	
Sinus rhythm	123 (83.1%)
Atrial fibrillation	8 (5.4%)
Nodal Rhythm	2 (1.4%)
Complete atrioventricular block	1 (0.7%)
Pacemaker	14 (9.6%)
<i>Heart rate (bpm)</i>	80 (68–92)
<i>Mean arterial pressure (mmHg)</i>	79 (69–89)
<i>Central venous pressure (mmHg)</i>	9 (5–13)
<i>Central venous saturation (%)</i>	67 (58–76)
<i>Lactate (mg/dL)</i>	17 (6–28)
<i>Mechanical ventilation</i>	25 (16.9%)
Volume-controlled	17 (68%)
Pressure support	8 (32%)
<i>Vasoactive drugs</i>	86 (58.1%)
Noradrenaline	50 (33.8%)
Nitroglycerine	27 (18.2%)
Dopamine	10 (6.8%)
Dobutamine	10 (6.8%)
Levosimendan	5 (3.4%)
<i>Pulmonary artery catheter</i>	26 (17.6%)

The table expresses the mean (standard deviation) or frequency (percentage).

The concordances of echocardiography findings by the two observers (basic and advanced) are shown in [Table 4](#). A good concordance was observed in the evaluation of right and left ventricular function (kappa 0.7; 0.56–0.84 and kappa 0.87; 0.82–0.92, respectively). The echocardiographical diagnosis interpretation between both observers was concordant in 85.1% of the cases (kappa 0.73; 0.68–0.78). The concordance of diagnosis is shown in [Table 5](#).

We have performed a subanalysis of ventilated patients and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients and we have found no differences respect the global concordances.

Discussion

This study demonstrates that a basic TTE training in intensivists let them to evaluate postoperative cardiac surgical patients with a moderate-good concordance in findings and interpretation within 24 h after cardiac surgery. To date, few studies have evaluated the feasibility of transthoracic echocardiography in the postoperative period in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. André et al.,⁴³ included 51 patients and found that echocardiography was possible in 82%. In our study, no images could be obtained in 12 cases (7.5%). Thus, echocardiography provided important information in 148 (92.5%) patients. This difference can be due to the number of patients included in the studies (51 versus 148) and the no availability of the echocardiograph in André case.

Olmos et al.,⁴⁴ studied the interobserver agreement in the evaluation of right ventricular function in the early postoperative period of cardiac surgery. They demonstrated that

Table 3 Echocardiographic findings.

			Basic trained intensivist	Advanced trained intensivist
Left Ventricle	Size	Normal	133 (89.9%)	130 (87.8%)
		Dilated	15 (10.1%)	18 (12.2%)
Right Ventricle	Function	Normal	109 (73.6%)	105 (70.9%)
		Depressed (<50%)	39 (26.4%)	43 (29.1%)
	Size	Normal	135 (91.2%)	134 (90.5%)
Pericardial fluid	Function	Dilated	13 (8.8%)	14 (9.5%)
		Normal	143 (96.6%)	139 (93.9%)
	Depressed	5 (3.4%)	9 (6.1%)	
Tamponade	Presence	Presence	16 (10.8%)	16 (10.8%)
		Absence	132 (89.2%)	132 (89.2%)
Hypovolemia	No	No	148 (100%)	148 (100%)
		Yes	16 (10.8%)	12 (8.1%)
	Not valorable	No	85 (57.4%)	91 (61.5%)
		Yes	47 (31.8%)	45 (30.4%)

The table expresses the number of patients and frequency (percentage).

Table 4 Concordance of echocardiography findings between intensivists with basic and advanced training.

Parameter	Concordant	Disconcordant	kappa ^a (95%CI)
LV size	133 (89.86%)	15 (10.14%)	0.49 (0.38–0.6)
LV function	140 (94.59%)	8 (5.41%)	0.87 (0.82–0.92)
LV contraction pattern	109 (73.64%)	39 (26.35%)	0.42 (0.34–0.50)
Kissing walls	138 (93.24%)	10 (6.75%)	0.58 (0.46–0.70)
RV dilation	135 (91.22%)	13 (8.78%)	0.47 (0.34–0.60)
RV function	144 (97.3%)	4 (2.7%)	0.7 (0.56–0.84)
Pericardial fluid	130 (87.84%)	18 (12.16%)	0.37 (0.25–0.49)
Signs of tamponade ^a	148 (100%)		
Hypovolemia	100 (67.57%)	48 (32.43%)	0.40 (0.33–0.47)

CI = confidence interval; LV = left ventricle; RV = right ventricle.

^a We did not find any case with signs of tamponade and all basic trained intensivists were able to see it.

Table 5 Concordance of interpretation of echocardiography findings between intensivists with basic and advanced training.

Diagnosis	n	Concordant	Discordant	kappa (95%CI)
Ventricular dysfunction	46	38 (82.6%)	8 (17.4%)	
Hypovolemia	15	11 (73.33%)	4 (26.67%)	
No abnormalities	87	77 (88.51%)	10 (11.49%)	
Total	148	126 (85.14%)	22 (14.86%)	0.73 (0.68–0.78)

CI = confidence interval.

they could obtain interpretable images in 92% of patients, that it is similar to our results. The principal differences respect our study are that in their case both explorers were cardiologist with experience in echocardiography and they only analyzed right ventricular function. In our case, both explorers were intensivist with basic or advanced training and we analyzed all parameters.

Vezzani et al.,⁴⁵ studied the value of chest ultrasound after cardiac surgery in comparison with chest X-ray and auscultation. They demonstrated that with chest ultrasound we can detect some postoperative abnormalities and complications in patients admitted to intensive care after cardiac surgery without needing to perform a chest X-ray. They used chest ultrasound, so they could see pericardial

effusion or cardiac tamponade, but they did not performed echocardiography, so they could not see other abnormalities like cardiac disfunction, that it is one of the parameters that we analyzed in our study.

We also sought to determine the degree of concordance in the echocardiography findings and their interpretation between examinations done by intensivists with basic training in the technique and those done by intensivists with advanced training. Other studies in medical critically ill patients analyzed the degree of concordance between both intensivists. They concluded that providing intensivists with basic training in echocardiography was useful for critical care.^{15,31–35,48,49} However, many of these studies excluded cardiac surgery patients, and no information was available

about the feasibility and usefulness of echocardiography by intensivists in this group of patients. Olmos-Temois et al.,⁴⁴ recently evaluated the interobserver variability in echocardiography parameters of the right ventricle in 52 stable patients without tamponade or active bleeding 6–8 h after heart surgery. In our study, we excluded only patients who declined to participate and those without images, and we analyzed all basic echocardiography parameters. Interobserver concordance in our study was moderate. Concordance on left ventricular function, right ventricular function, and the final echocardiographic diagnosis was good or nearly perfect. Our results are a better than in other studies,^{15,32,33} probably because of patients' characteristics. We had only analyzed postcardiac surgical patients and they analyzed medical and other than postcardiac surgical patients. It is important to remark that right and left ventricular function are two of the most important parameters in postcardiac surgical patients because of the repercussion of this kind of surgery. Thus, we conclude that intensivists with basic training in echocardiography are capable of correctly carrying out and interpreting basic transthoracic echocardiography examinations in most postcardiac surgical patients.

It is important to demonstrate the feasibility of basic echocardiography in ruling out the most extreme and most severe patterns during postoperative cardiac surgery period (as severe ventricular dysfunction, severe hypovolemia, severe mitral regurgitation, and tamponade). But that this does not obviate the need for advanced echocardiography by duly trained intensivists or cardiologists when necessary.

We have found with some limitations during our study. This is a single center study undergoing scheduled cardiac surgery by the same surgical team, and we cannot extrapolate our results to other centers. No patients undergoing urgent surgery were included and the number of complications was low. Therefore we are unable to confirm a good interobserver concordance in acute postsurgical patients that normally presents a higher number of severe complications.

Some of the basic patterns, in particular hypovolemia, might not be sufficiently validated in populations like ours that have structural disease such as ventricular hypertrophy, dilation of cardiac chambers, valvular heart disease. Finally, the evaluation of the presence of hypovolemia by basic transthoracic echocardiography in the postoperative cardiac surgery patients needs further studies.

Finally, we did not measure the end-diastolic diameters of the ventricles, and this could make it difficult to identify some cases of ventricular dilation. This short coming could explain the discordance between some examinations done by intensivists with basic and advanced training.

Conclusions

Intensivists with basic training in echocardiography are capable of performing and interpreting echocardiograms in most scheduled postoperative cardiac surgery patients.

Authors' contribution

Conception and design: Dr. Olga Moreno, Dr. Ana Ochagavía, Dr. Antonio Artigas, Dr. Francisco Baigorri.

Acquisition, analysis and interpretation: Dr. Olga Moreno, Dr. Ana Ochagavía, Dr. Cristina Espinal, Dr. Roser Tomás, Dr. Maria Dolores Bosque, Dr. Cristina Fortià.

Drafting the manuscript for important intellectual content: Dr. Olga Moreno, Dr. Ana Ochagavía, Dr. Antonio Artigas, Dr. Francisco Baigorri.

Conflict of interests

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Cristina Mora, Maria Luisa Martinez, Susana Millan and Eva Torrents (Hospital Universitari General de Catalunya) for their contribution in data collect, and David Suárez (Parc Tauli Hospital Universitari) for his contribution in statistical analysis. This paper is part of a Thesis of the Medicine Department of Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

Appendix. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.medint.2018.06.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medint.2018.06.004).

References

- Orme RML, Oram MP, McKinstry CE. Impact of echocardiography on patient management in the intensive care unit: an audit of district general hospital practice. *Br J Anaesth*. 2009;102:340–4.
- Jensen MB, Sloth E, Larsen KM, Schmidt MB. Transthoracic echocardiography for cardiopulmonary monitoring in intensive care. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2004;21:700–7.
- Vignon P, Chastagner C, François B, Martailié J-F, Normand S, Bonnivard M, et al. Diagnostic ability of hand-held echocardiography in ventilated critically ill patients. *Crit Care BioMed Central*. 2003;7:R84–91.
- Joseph MX, Disney PJS, Da Costa R, Hutchison SJ. Transthoracic echocardiography to identify or exclude cardiac cause of shock. *Chest*. 2004;126:1592–7.
- Slama M, Maizel J. Echocardiographic measurement of ventricular function. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2006;12:241–8.
- Mayo PH, Beaulieu Y, Doelken P, Feller-Kopman D, Harrod C, Kaplan A, et al. American College of Chest Physicians/La Société de Réanimation de Langue Française statement on competence in critical care ultrasonography. *Chest*. 2009;1050–60.
- Kaplan A, Mayo PH. Echocardiography performed by the pulmonary/critical care medicine physician. *Chest*. 2009;135:529–35.
- Donovan KD, Colreavy F. Echocardiography in intensive care: the basics, Part I. *Crit Care Resusc*. 1999;1:291–5.
- Beaulieu Y. Bedside echocardiography in the assessment of the critically ill. *Crit Care Med*. 2007;35 Suppl.:S235–49.
- Moore CL, Copel JA. Point-of-care ultrasonography. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364:749–57. Massachusetts Medical Society.
- Schmidt GA, Koenig S, Mayo PH. Shock: ultrasound to guide diagnosis and therapy. *Chest*. 2012;142:1042–8.
- Ayuela Azcarate JM, Clau Terré F, Vicho Pereira R, Guerrero de Mier M, Carrillo López A, Ochagavía A, et al. Documento de consenso para la formación en ecografía en Medicina Intensiva,

- Proceso asistencial, uso de la técnica y adquisición de competencias profesionales. *Med Intensiva*. 2014;38:33–40.
13. Beaulieu Y. Specific skill set and goals of focused echocardiography for critical care clinicians. *Crit Care Med*. 2007;35 Suppl.:S144–9.
 14. Poelaert J, Mayo P. Education and evaluation of knowledge and skills in echocardiography: how should we organize? *Intensive Care Med*. 2007;33:1684–6.
 15. Vignon P, Dugard A, Abraham J, Belcour D, Gondran G, Pepino F, et al. Focused training for goal-oriented hand-held echocardiography performed by noncardiologist residents in the intensive care unit. *Intensive Care Med*. 2007;33:1795–9.
 16. Vieillard-Baron A, Slama M, Cholley B, Janvier G, Vignon P. Echocardiography in the intensive care unit: from evolution to revolution? *Intensive Care Med*. 2008;34:243–9.
 17. Marik PE, Mayo P. Certification and training in critical care ultrasound. *Intensive Care Med*. 2008;34:215–7.
 18. Roscoe A, Strang T. Echocardiography in intensive care. *Contin Educ Anaesth Crit Care Pain*. 2008;8:46–9.
 19. Morris C, Bennett S, Burn S, Russell C, Jarman B, Swanevelder J. Echocardiography in the intensive care unit: current position, future directions. *J Intensive Care Soc*. 2010;11:90–7.
 20. Huang SJ, McLean AS. Do we need a critical care ultrasound certification program? Implications from an Australian medical-legal perspective. *Crit care*. 2010;14:313.
 21. Expert Round Table on Ultrasound in ICU. International expert statement on training standards for critical care ultrasonography. *Intensive Care Med*. 2011;37:1077–83.
 22. Breitzkreutz R, Walcher F, Seeger FH. Focused echocardiographic evaluation in resuscitation management: concept of an advanced life support-conformed algorithm. *Crit Care Med*. 2007;35 Suppl.:S150–61.
 23. Hernandez C, Shuler K, Hannan H, Sonyika C, Likourezos A, Marshall J. C.A.U.S.E. Cardiac arrest ultra-sound exam—a better approach to managing patients in primary non-arrhythmogenic cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2008;76:198–206.
 24. Breitzkreutz R, Price S, Steiger HV, Seeger FH, Ilper H, Ackermann H, et al. Focused echocardiographic evaluation in life support and peri-resuscitation of emergency patients: a prospective trial. *Resuscitation*. 2010;81:1527–33.
 25. Johri AM, Durbin J, Newbigging J, Tanzola R, Chow R, De S, et al. Cardiac point-of-care ultrasound: state of the art in medical school education. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2018.
 26. Spencer KT, Kimura BJ, Korcarz CE, Pellikka PA, Rahko PS, Siegel RJ. Focused cardiac ultrasound: recommendations from the American society of echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2013;26:567–81.
 27. Evangelista A, Flachskampf F, Lancellotti P, Badano L, Aguilar R, Monaghan M, et al. European Association of Echocardiography recommendations for standardization of performance, digital storage and reporting of echocardiographic studies. *Eur J Echocardiogr*. 2008;9:438–48.
 28. Price S, Via G, Sloth E, Guarracino F, Breitzkreutz R, Catena E, et al. Echocardiography practice, training and accreditation in the intensive care: document for the World Interactive Network Focused on Critical Ultrasound (WINFOCUS). *Cardiovasc Ultrasound*. 2008;6:49.
 29. Ayuela Azcarate JM, Clau Terré F, Ochagavia A, Vicho Pereira R. Role of echocardiography in the hemodynamic monitoring of critical patients. *Med Intensiva*. 2012;36:220–32.
 30. Chew MS. Haemodynamic monitoring using echocardiography in the critically ill: a review. *Cardiol Res Pract*. 2012;1395:37–47.
 31. Vignon P, Mücke F, Bellec F, Marin B, Croce J, Brouqui T, et al. Basic critical care echocardiography: validation of a curriculum dedicated to noncardiologist residents. *Crit Care Med*. 2011;39:636–42.
 32. Manasia AR, Nagaraj HM, Kodali RB, Croft LB, Oropello JM, Kohli-Seth R, et al. Feasibility and potential clinical utility of goal-directed transthoracic echocardiography performed by noncardiologist intensivists using a small hand-carried device (SonoHeart) in critically ill patients. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2005;19:155–9.
 33. Melamed R, Sprenkle MD, Ulstad VK, Herzog CA, Leatherman JW. Assessment of left ventricular function by intensivists using hand-held echocardiography. *Chest*. 2009;135:1416–20.
 34. Franchi F, Cameli M, Taccone FS, Mazzetti L, Bigio E, Contorni M, et al. Assessment of left ventricular ejection fraction in critically ill patients at the time of speckle tracking echocardiography: intensivists in training for echocardiography versus experienced operators. *Minerva Anesthesiol*. 2018.
 35. Pinedo M, Villacorta E, Tapia C, Arnold R, López J, Revilla A, et al. Variabilidad inter e intraobservador en la valoración ecocardiográfica de la función del ventrículo derecho. *Rev Esp Cardiol*. 2010;63:802–9.
 36. Prat G, Charron C, Repesse X, Coriat P, Bailly P, L'her E, et al. The use of computerized echocardiographic simulation improves the learning curve for transesophageal hemodynamic assessment in critically ill patients. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2016;6:27.
 37. De Backer D, Bakker J, Cecconi M, Hajjar L, Liu DW, Lobo S, et al. Alternatives to the Swan-Ganz catheter. *Intensive Care Med*. 2018;283:447–512.
 38. Kuza CM, Hanifi MT, Koç M, Stopfkuchen-Evans M. Providing transthoracic echocardiography training for intensive care unit trainees: an educational improvement initiative. *J Surg Educ*. 2018.
 39. Colreavy FB, Donovan K, Lee KY, Weekes J. Transesophageal echocardiography in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med*. 2002;30:989–96.
 40. Poelaert JI, Trouerbach J, De Buyzere M, Everaert J, Colardyn FA. Evaluation of transesophageal echocardiography as a diagnostic and therapeutic aid in a critical care setting. *Chest*. 1995;107:774–9.
 41. Fayad A, Shillcutt SK. Perioperative transesophageal echocardiography for non-cardiac surgery. *Can J Anaesth*. 2018;65:381–98.
 42. Zerillo J, Hill B, Kim S, DeMaria S, Mandell MS. Use, training, and opinions about effectiveness of transesophageal echocardiography in adult liver transplantation among anesthesiologists in the United States. *Semin Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2018;22:137–45.
 43. Une expérience de l'échocardiographie transthoracique après chirurgie cardiaque. *Ann Fr Anesth Réanim*. 2001;20:752–6.
 44. Olmos-Temois SG, Santos-Martínez LE, Álvarez-Álvarez R, Gutiérrez-Delgado LG, Baranda-Tovar FM. Acuerdo interobservador de los parámetros ecocardiográficos que estiman la función sistólica del ventrículo derecho en el postoperatorio temprano de cirugía cardíaca. *Med Intensiva*. 2016;40:491–8.
 45. Vezzani A, Manca T, Benassi F, Galligani A, Spaggiari I, Brusasco C, et al. Diagnostic value of chest ultrasound after cardiac surgery: a comparison with chest X-ray and auscultation. *Crit Care*. 2014;18:1. *BioMed Central*; 2014 Feb 1;18(1):P130.
 46. Popescu BA, Andrade MJ, Badano LP, Fox KF, Flachskampf FA, Lancellotti P, et al. European Association of Echocardiography recommendations for training, competence, and quality improvement in echocardiography. *Eur J Echocardiogr*. 2009;10:893–905.
 47. Sim J, Wright CC. The kappa statistic in reliability studies: use, interpretation, and sample size requirements. *Phys Ther*. 2005;85:257–68.

48. Schmidlin D, Schuepbach R, Bernard E, Ecknauer E, Jenni R, Schmid ER. Indications and impact of postoperative transesophageal echocardiography in cardiac surgical patients. *Crit Care Med.* 2001;29:2143–8.
49. Schmidlin D, Bettex D, Bernard E, Germann R, Tornic M, Jenni R, et al. Transoesophageal echocardiography in cardiac and vascular surgery: implications and observer variability. *Br J Anaesth.* 2001;86:497–505.