

EDITORIAL

Are we able to optimize the definition and diagnosis of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome?^{\star}

¿Somos capaces de optimizar la definición y el diagnóstico del síndrome de distrés respiratorio agudo severo?

B. Cabello^{a,*}, A.W. Thille^{b,c}

^a Hospital Xanit International, Benalmádena, Málaga, Spain

^b Servicio de Cuidados Intensivos, Hospital Universitario de Getafe, Madrid, Spain

^c Réanimation Médicale, AP-HP, Hôpital Henri Mondor, Créteil, France

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) was first described in 1967.¹ However, it was not until 1994 that an international consensus conference established the definition of ARDS that is used today,² i.e. recent symptoms onset with severe hypoxemia requiring mechanical ventilation with a PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio of <200 mmHg, radiologically manifest bilateral and diffuse infiltrates, and the absence of cardiogenic lung edema. The criteria defining acute lung injury (ALI) are the same as those applicable to ARDS, though in this case the PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio is between 200 and 300 mmHg.

ARDS is not a disease but a syndrome. In fact, it is caused by a very heterogeneous group of disorders. In effect, ARDS can be caused by direct lung damage, as in the course of pneumonia, bronchial aspiration or lung contusion. Likewise, it can result from extrapulmonary damage, as during septic shock (in most cases of abdominal origin), pancreatitis, or hemorrhagic shock and consequent polytransfusion.³ Despite the diversity of underlying causes, the distinction between ARDS of pulmonary or extrapulmonary origin has not been shown to exert an influence upon mortality,⁴ in the same way as positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) adjustment for the correction of hypoxemia.⁵ Nevertheless, mortality is lower in the case of ALI than in genuine

* Corresponding author.

ARDS.⁶ Accordingly, the three large studies that have evaluated the impact of PEEP level have indistinctly included patients with ALI and with ARDS.⁷⁻⁹ Treatment then could differ according to the severity of ARDS. One of the aforementioned studies found that the association of a tidal volume of 6 ml/kg to high PEEP (the latter being increased until reaching a plateau pressure of $28-30 \text{ cm H}_2O$) allowed significant shortening of the duration of mechanical ventilation, thereby giving rise to a near-significant reduction in mortality.9 Likewise, this strategy only benefited those patients diagnosed with ARDS, but not those presenting criteria of ALI.⁹ The meta-analysis of these three studies has shown elevated PEEP to lessen mortality, though significance was only reached in the group of patients with ARDS.¹⁰ Likewise, prone decubitus only appeared effective in those patients with particularly severe ARDS, defined as $PaO_2/FiO_2 < 100 \text{ mmHg}$.¹¹ The radiologically identified lesions could also intervene in the prognosis, with higher mortality among patients with infiltration of all four guadrants (diffuse ARDS) than in those with only bibasal lesions (lobar ARDS).¹² The current definition of ARDS does not take into account the severity of lung injury, and the PaO_2/FiO_2 ratio does not take into consideration the protocolized PEEP. Some authors have suggested that an urgent revision of this definition is needed in order to conduct multicenter studies and determine treatment on the basis of more homogeneous populations.¹³

In this issue of the journal, Sánchez Casado et al.¹⁴ have evaluated the impact of PEEP upon the alveolo-arterial gradient in over 600 patients subjected to mechanical ventilation in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU). The alveolo-arterial

^{*} Please cite this article as: Cabello B, Thille AW. ¿Somos capaces de optimizar la definición y el diagnóstico del síndrome de distrés respiratorio agudo severo? Med Intensiva. 2012;36:322-3.

E-mail address: furones@hotmail.com (B. Cabello).

^{2173-5727/\$ -} see front matter © 2012 Elsevier España, S.L. and SEMICYUC. All rights reserved.

gradient is directly influenced by the PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio and patient severity. In fact, the gradient was higher in the more hypoxemic individuals, evidencing severe lung injury. Likewise, the observed relationship between the alveolo-arterial gradient and the PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio was better correlated on taking the protocolized PEEP into account. This finding suggests that the PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio is not sufficient as a parameter for evaluating the severity of lung injury, and that the intensity of treatment must be taken into account when assessing the severity of ARDS. The PEEP and FiO₂ "dosage" exerts a greater influence upon oxygenation and could directly evidence the severity of ARDS. Calculation of the alveoloarterial ratio at the patient bedside is complex and not ideal, as underscored by the authors of the study, and the gradient is directly influenced by FiO₂. Accordingly, for one same PaO_2/FiO_2 ratio, the gradient clearly increases if FiO_2 increases. In fact, FiO₂ has been shown to be an independent predictor of mortality, despite the presence of a similar PaO_2/FiO_2 ratio.¹⁵ The same applies to the PEEP level, since many factors influence oxygenation during the development of ARDS. Hypoxemia can not only worsen secondary to a decrease in cardiac output¹⁶ or the presence of a permeable foramen oval, as found in 20% of the patients, ¹⁷ but also to FiO_2 with a generally higher PaO_2/FiO_2 ratio for FiO_2 100% than for FiO₂ 60%.¹⁸ This means that for one same PaO_2/FiO_2 ratio, those patients with a higher FiO₂ are in more serious condition as regards oxygenation.

As shown by the authors, not only the PEEP dose, but also the FiO₂ dose, could be a good marker of the severity of lung injury. Villar et al. identified a population with particularly severe ARDS according to the adjusted PEEP level.¹⁹ The patients with a PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio of <200 mmHg remained in this condition 24 h after admission, and despite a PEEP of at least 10 cm H₂O, the mortality rate was 45%, versus only 20% in the other cases.¹⁹ It is therefore essential to distinguish the more severe cases, and the definition of ARDS should take not only the PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio into account, but also the intensity of treatment as refers to the PEEP level and FiO₂.

References

- Ashbaugh DG, Bigelow DB, Petty TL, Levine BE. Acute respiratory distress in adults. Lancet. 1967;2:319–23.
- Bernard GR, Artigas A, Brigham KL, Carlet J, Falke K, Hudson L, et al. The American-European consensus conference on ARDS. Definitions, mechanisms, relevant outcomes, and clinical trial coordination. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1994;149: 818-24.
- Pelosi P, D'Onofrio D, Chiumello D, Paolo S, Chiara G, Capelozzi VL, et al. Pulmonary and extrapulmonary acute respiratory distress syndrome are different. Eur Respir J Suppl. 2003;42:48s-56s.
- Eisner MD, Thompson T, Hudson LD, Luce JM, Hayden D, Schoenfeld D, et al. Efficacy of low tidal volume ventilation in patients with different clinical risk factors for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2001;164:231-6.

- Thille AW, Richard JC, Maggiore SM, Ranieri VM, Brochard L. Alveolar recruitment in pulmonary and extrapulmonary acute respiratory distress syndrome: comparison using pressure-volume curve or static compliance. Anesthesiology. 2007;106:212–7.
- 6. Brun-Buisson C, Minelli C, Bertolini G, Brazzi L, Pimentel J, Lewandowski K, et al. Epidemiology and outcome of acute lung injury in European intensive care units. Results from the ALIVE study. Intensive Care Med. 2004;30:51–61.
- Brower RG, Lanken PN, MacIntyre N, Matthay MA, Morris A, Ancukiewicz M, et al. Higher versus lower positive endexpiratory pressures in patients with the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2004;351:327–36.
- Meade MO, Cook DJ, Guyatt GH, Slutsky AS, Arabi YM, Cooper DJ, et al. Ventilation strategy using low tidal volumes, recruitment maneuvers, and high positive end-expiratory pressure for acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2008;299:637–45.
- Mercat A, Richard JC, Vielle B, Jaber S, Osman D, Diehl JL, et al. Positive end-expiratory pressure setting in adults with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2008;299:646–55.
- Briel M, Meade M, Mercat A, Brower RG, Talmor D, Walter SD, et al. Higher vs lower positive end-expiratory pressure in patients with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2010;303:865-73.
- Gattinoni L, Carlesso E, Taccone P, Polli F, Guerin C, Mancebo J. Prone positioning improves survival in severe ARDS: a pathophysiologic review and individual patient meta-analysis. Minerva Anestesiol. 2010;76:448–54.
- Rouby JJ, Puybasset L, Cluzel P, Richecoeur J, Lu Q, Grenier P. Regional distribution of gas and tissue in acute respiratory distress syndrome. II. Physiological correlations and definition of an ARDS Severity Score. CT Scan ARDS Study Group. Intensive Care Med. 2000;26:1046–56.
- Phua J, Stewart TE, Ferguson ND. Acute respiratory distress syndrome 40 years later: time to revisit its definition. Crit Care Med. 2008;36:2912–21.
- 14. Sánchez Casado M, Quintana Díaz M, Palacios D, Hortigüela V, Marco Schulke C, García J, et al. Relación entre el gradiente alveolo-arterial de oxígeno y la PaO₂ / FiO₂ introduciendo la PEEP en el modelo. Med Intensiva. 2012;36:329–34.
- Britos M, Smoot E, Liu KD, Thompson BT, Checkley W, Brower RG. The value of positive end-expiratory pressure and Fio criteria in the definition of the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med. 2011;39:2025–30.
- Takala J. Hypoxemia due to increased venous admixture: influence of cardiac output on oxygenation. Intensive Care Med. 2007;33:908–11.
- Mekontso Dessap A, Boissier F, Leon R, Carreira S, Campo FR, Lemaire F, et al. Prevalence and prognosis of shunting across patent foramen ovale during acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med. 2011;38:1786–92.
- Aboab J, Louis B, Jonson B, Brochard L. Relation between PaO₂/FIO₂ ratio and FIO₂: a mathematical description. Intensive Care Med. 2006;32:1494–7.
- Villar J, Perez-Mendez L, Lopez J, Belda J, Blanco J, Saralegui I, et al. An early PEEP/FIO₂ trial identifies different degrees of lung injury in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007;176:795–804.